

## **PASSIVE SOLAR STILL DESALINATION SYSTEMS. CLASSIFICATION, STUDY PARAMETERS AND FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS**

*Nabil H. mostafa, Mohamed H. Gobran, Mohamed A. Essa, Estabrak N. Eewayed*

*Department of Mechanical Power Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Zagazig University, 44519 Zagazig, Egypt: email: [nabil@eng.zu.edu.eg](mailto:nabil@eng.zu.edu.eg), [mhgobran@yahoo.com](mailto:mhgobran@yahoo.com), [eng1182@gmail.com](mailto:eng1182@gmail.com), [geuk270@gmail.com](mailto:geuk270@gmail.com)*

### **ABSTRACT**

The scarcity in fresh water worldwide led to a great interest for the desalination systems. Passive desalination is a category of the desalination systems that fits the brackish and saline water desalination in the isolated zones without external energy source. This article introduces a comprehensive discussion of the existing designs of passive solar desalination systems. This includes the classification of different designs as well. The different parameters affecting the performance of the passive solar desalination systems are explored and discussed. Upon the findings based on the different parameters, some research points were suggested to enhance the performance of such systems.

**Keywords:** solar desalination, Water desalination, passive Technology.

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Water desalination became a vital source of fresh water all over the world especially in Middle East countries. These countries suffer from water scarcity because of the shortage of the fresh water sources. The available water in the world can be divided to three parts; water in oceans, polar region and fresh water in rivers. The fresh water that can be used by humans represents about 0.36% of available water on the earth(Xiao et al. 2013). Therefore, the need for water desalination becomes a necessity. The desalination process take place in a desalination system. The operation of these systems depends on evaporating the fresh water from brackish or saline water and then condense it to get the fresh water. There are a variety of operation principles that will be explored in the following section.

### **2 CLASSIFICATION OF DIFFERENT DESIGNS.**

Solar desalination systems (SDS) can be divided into two main categories according to the location of the solar collector and the condenser. These two categories are direct and indirect systems. In direct systems, the collector and condenser are included in the same device. While in the indirect systems, the evaporation and condensation units are separate units. Moreover, the indirect systems usually use external energy source for pumping or compression (Yadav et al. 2017).

The direct systems are divided to Active and Passive system. In the active systems, an additional collector or condenser is used to increase the evaporation or condensation rate respectively. This in turn increases the freshwater productivity. While in the passive solar systems, limited modifications are applied in the basin for increasing the productivity (Manokar, Murugavel, and Esakkimuthu 2014). However, other researchers like (Shafii et al. 2016) postulated that the passive distillation systems are those at which solar energy is the only form of energy for creating the distillation action. While in the active systems, an auxiliary source of thermal energy is used for increasing the evaporation rate. The passive systems exist in one of three manners. A SS, integrated with collector, and collector-condenser units without SS. Figure 1 illustrates the classification of the desalination systems.




Figure 1. Classification of the solar desalination systems

This study is interested in the passive type of the SDS. So, the different designs of the passive desalination systems will be discussed in this article. Then the study parameters that were investigated will be explored in the different designs. Then the proposed enhancement according to the different designs will be noted about at the end of the article.

## 2.1 Solar Still.

A SS is a desalination unit composed of two main parts. The first part is a basin contains the saline or brackish water that is required to be desalinated. The second part is a cover for that basin (that is normally glass). This cover permits the entrance of solar energy and the condensation of the evaporated water from the basin on its inner surface. The SS absorbs the sunlight through the glass cover (Xiao et al. 2013). The SS can exist in different shapes according to the form of the transparent cover or the geometry of the basin. A layout for the SS is shown in figure 2.






Figure 2. Simple layout for a SS

### 2.1.1 Solar Still Cover Geometries


The cover of the SS is often a transparent glass plate to pass sun rays through it and hence heat up the water in the SS basin. Also, it works as a condenser over which the water vapor condenses and collects as desalinated water. The shapes of the SS cover that exist are Single slope solar still (SSSS), Double slope solar still (DSSS), Double effect solar still (DESS), Pyramid shape solar still (PSSS) and Hemispherical solar still (HSS). These shapes are illustrated in figure 3



(a) Double slope Solar Still (Abderachid and Abdenacer 2013)




(b) Single slope Solar Still (El-Sebaei 2004)



(c) Solar Still with double effect (Elango and Murugavel 2015)

(d)



(e) Pyramid shape Solar Still (Sathyamurthy et al. 2014)



(f) Hemispherical Solar Still (Ismail 2009)

Figure 3. Solar Still cover shapes.

### 2.1.2 Solar Still Basin Geometry

The SS basin is the main part that contains the saline water which evaporates under the solar rays acting on it from the cover. The shapes of the SS basin that were investigated are Single basin solar still (SBSS), Double basin solar still (DBSS) and Multi basin solar still (MBSS). These shapes are illustrated in figure 4.





Figure 4. Solar Still basin geometries.

## 2.2 Solar Still Integrated with The Collector.

To enhance the performance of the SSs, additional heaters were integrated to the SS to increase the evaporation rate. A conventional SS can be connected to a flat plate collector (FPC) like in (Badran and Al-Tahaine 2005) (Abad et al. 2013) (Morad, El-Maghawry, and Wasfy 2015) or evacuated tube collector (ETC) like in (Praveen T. Hunashikatti, Prathima, and Sachdeva 2014), (Mamouri et al. 2014), (Hitesh N Panchal 2015), (Faegh and Shafii 2017), (Omara, Eltawil, and ElNashar 2013). Those collectors are used to enhance the efficiency of the SS (H N Panchal and Thakkar 2016) (Singh et al. 2013). The different designs of the SS integrated with ETC and FPC are shown in Fig. 5.




Single slop Solar Still with Thermo syphon heat pipes (Mamouri et al. 2014)




Single slop Solar Still (K. Sampathkumar, T.V. Arjunan 2011)



Solar Still and evacuated solar water heater (Omara, Eltawil, and ElNashar 2013)




Double Basin Solar Still (Hitesh N Panchal 2015)



Pyramid-shape Solar Still (Badran and Al-Tahaineh 2005)


(a) Solar Still integrated with ETC




Single slope Solar Still



Double slope Solar Still (Morad, El-Maghawry, and Wasfy 2015)



Pyramid-shape Solar Still (Badran and Al-Tahaineh 2005)



Single slope solar still with (Pulsating Heat Pipes) (Abad et al. 2013)

(b) Solar Still integrated with FPC


Figure 5. Solar Still integrated with collector (a) Solar Still integrated with ETC, (b) Solar Still integrated with FPC.

### 2.3 Collector with Condenser.

One of the new techniques for passive desalination is using the collector integrated with a condensation unit to act as the desalination unit. The collector is used for the evaporation process while the condenser is used for the condensation of this vapor through heat rejection to ambient. This unit does not include SS or glass covers. As the collector is used only for evaporating water, ETC were used like in (Balan et al. 2011) for its higher performance and higher temperatures. A metallic condenser was used for the condensation process for its high conductivity that aids in increasing the condensation rate. Figure. 6 show the two types of ETC with the condenser that were investigated up to now.



condenser mounted axially above with evacuated tube condenser (Shafii et al. 2016)



condensation box with evacuated tube condenser (Shahmohamadi M., Shafii 2015)

Figure 6. Collector-Condenser classification

## 3 STUDIED PARAMETERS

There are many parameters affect the production rate and efficiency of the passive desalination systems. These factors were investigated in the literature and they are as follows:


- Water depths.
- Wind speed over the cover or condenser.
- Heat storage media type and specifications.
- Fins on the surface of the cover.
- Reflector.
- Glass Cover Inclination Angle
- Collector's inclination angle
- Cover material.
- Cover thickness.

The effects of those factors are explored separately in the following paragraphs.

### 3.1 Water Depth.


The depth of water in the SS basin is a very important parameter that controls the SS production. Changing the water depth decreases the heat capacity required to heat water and hence increases the evaporation rate. (Fath and Hosny 2002) studied theoretically the effect of changing the water depth in a SSSS in by values of 0.56 ,1 and 2 cm. The results showed that the maximum production rate takes place at the lowest water depth (0.56 cm) and the production rate increased by 5% compared to the highest water depth of 2cm. (Badran and Al-Tahaineh 2005) studied the effect of changing the water depth by 2, 3, 4 and 5 cm on SS production rate by using a SSSS integrated with a FPC. The results showed that the production rate increased by 16% at the water depth of 2cm compared to that

at 5 cm water depth. (Badran 2007) studied the effect of the water depth of 2 and 3.5 cm on the SS production rate using SSSS with asphalt basin liner. The results showed that the production rate increased by 26% at the water depth of 2cm over the 3.5 cm water depth. (Elango and Murugavel 2015) studied the effect of changing water depth in the range of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5cm on the productivity by using double and SBSS with double slopes. The results showed that increased by 44% at the smallest depth for the DBSS compared to the largest depth. The production rate of the SBSS increased by 38% at the smallest depth for the DBSS compared to the largest depth. (Praveen T. Hunashikatti, Prathima, and Sachdeva 2014) studied the effect of three different water depths of 2, 4 and 6 cm on the production rate in a SSSS with aligned ETC. The results showed that the production rate increased by 68.2% at water depth of 2 cm compared to the 6 cm depth. (Abad et al., 2013) studied the effect of changing the water depth in the range (1, 2 and 3 cm) using SS with FPC and PHP (Pulsating Heat Pipes). The results showed that the production rate increased by 51.7% at water depth of 1 cm compared to that of 3 cm. (Abderachid and Abdenacer 2013) studied the effect of changing the water depth in the range of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 cm on the production rate in a DSSS and DESS. The results showed that the production rate increased by 62% and 43% by using DESS and double slope one, respectively when using a water depth equal to 2cm in both cases compared to a 10 cm depth. (Mamouri et al. 2014) studied the effect of changing water depth in a SS with ETCs and thermosyphons on the water production rate. The water depth studied was in the range of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 cm. The results showed that the production rate increased by 70% at water depth equal to 2cm compared to that of 5 cm. At the water depth lower than 2cm, the entire heat pipe condenser is not completely submerged under the water level, so the water depth of 1cm did not guarantee acceptable evaporation rate of the water from the basin as a part of the thermos-syphon condenser is out of water. (Sakthivel and Shanmugasundaram 2008) studied the effect of changing water depth in the range of 2, 3 and 4cm on the productivity of a SSSS with a black gravel heat storage system as shown in figure 7.



**Figure 7. The SS with gravel,** (Sakthivel and Shanmugasundaram 2008)

The results showed that the production rate increased by 19.6% at water depth equal to 3 cm when compared to 4 cm water depth. The water depth 2cm performed the highest production rate for the first four hour of the experiment. however, the water depth 3cm gave the highest productivity over the day. This performance may refer to the time taken from the black gravel to store heat. The black gravel is used for absorbing the excess heat energy from solar radiation during the noon hours. (Morad, El-Maghawry, and Wasfy 2015) studied the effect of changing water depth by 1 and 3 cm on water productivity by using double slop SS with FPC. The results showed that the production rate increased by 7.5% at 1cm compared to 3 cm. (Zurigat and Abu-Arabi 2004) studied the effect of changing water film thickness in the range 2-40mm on the production rate using regenerative SS system shown in figure 8. The unit consists of two basins and these arrangements have an advantage over the SBSS because of increasing the temperature difference between water and glass cover. The results showed that there is a marginal increase (2.8%) for the 2mm film thickness over the 40 mm film thickness in the second effect. This very small effect is due to the moving water film in the second effect which did not permit large heating for the second effect but decreases its glass temperature which aid in the condensation of the vapor from the first effect better.



**Figure 8. Regenerative Solar still (double basin),** (Zurigat and Abu-Arabi 2004)

(Madhlopa and Johnstone 2009) studied numerically the effect of changing water quantity in the range of 10, 20 and 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> on the productivity of a MBSS system 9. They used multi-effect SS with separate collector and condenser as illustrated in figure 9. The results showed that the overall systems production rate increased by 16% at the lowest quantity of saline water in basin 1, and enhanced by 11% when using the minimum quantity of water for basin 2. For basin 3, the enhancement was very low as 3% for the lowest water quantity used because it is not exposed to the direct sunlight. These enhancement ratios was calculated compared to the largest water quantity in the basin. The productivity of a triple-basin SS at different water depths was investigated by (El-Sebaai 2005) as shown in Figure 4. Numerical calculations were performed at different water quantities (25-50-75-100-125-150-175-200 kg) on typical winter and summer days. The results showed that the overall productivity in kg/m<sup>2</sup>.hr increased by 280% at the lowest depth in comparison with the highest depth when changing water depth in lower basin and maintain the water depth in the two-other basins of 25 kg. The productivity increased by to 74% and 8% at lower depth when changed the depth at the middle and the upper basin, respectively maintaining the water quantity of 25 kg for the untested basin in each case. (K. Sampathkumar, T.V. Arjunan 2011) Studied the effect of changing water depth in the range of 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 cm on a SS with ETC productivity as illustrated in figure 5 (a). The result showed that the productivity increased by 76 % 1/m<sup>2</sup>.day at 5cm compared to the 9 cm for the conventional SS. And the productivity increased by 40% 1/m<sup>2</sup>.day for water depth of 5cm compared to 9 cm for the system coupled with ETC.




Figure 9. Multi-effect SS with separate collector and condenser (Madhlopa and Johnstone 2009)

### 3.2 Wind speed


Wind speed plays an important role in removing heat from the SS cover increasing the condensation rate. This in turn enhances the overall efficiency of the desalination system. Some investigations were performed to test the effect of wind speed on the performance of the passive desalination systems.

Single slope SS was investigated under different wind speeds (Badran 2007). Wind speed was varied in the range from 2 to 5 m/s. The results showed that the production rate increased by 55% at wind speed of 5 m/s compared to that of 2 m/s. (Zurigat and Abu-Arabi 2004) studied the effect of wind speed variation on the desalination system productivity using regenerative SS. The wind speed range was from 0 to 10 m/s. Production rate increased by 50% at the maximum wind speed compared to the minimum one. A triangular PSSS production rate at different wind speeds was studied by (Sathyamurthy et al. 2014)). Different wind speeds of 1.5, 3 and 4.5 m/s were generated by a fan. The results showed that increasing the wind speed increased the still productivity and 15.5% at 4.5 m/s compared to 1.5 m/s. (El-Sebaili 2004) and (El-Sebaili 2011) showed that as the wind velocity increases, the production rate increase. These studies performed at wind speeds from 0 to 30 m/s. They claimed that it is better to install SS in a windy place because the production rate increase with wind speed. The production rate increased by 51% for the maximum wind speed compared to the minimum one.

### 3.3 Heat storage.

Desalination by SS system becomes more efficient. One of the system disadvantages is waste heat from the upper glass cover. SS can produce fresh water during daytime but the problem is during the night (Faegh and Shafii 2017). So, it is need to store energy to produce water during night. Storage energy during day time to the night time can be divided to sensible and latent heat (Faegh and Shafii 2017)- (Shukla, Kant, and Sharma 2017). Sensible heat was stored in Jute cloth, charcoal particle, and sand and quartzite rock. These materials are placed inside the SS basin and absorb solar energy during day time then restore it at night. (Sakthivel, Shanmugasundaram, and Alwarsamy 2010) Studied the effect of jute cloth as an energy storage medium on the SS production rate. Jute cloth which is put vertically in the middle of the SS basin and attached with the rear wall of the still. Jute cloth also represent a cheap, available material and easily to install without disturbing the basin area as shown in Figure 10. Results showed that the water production rate increased by 12% because of using jute

cloth. Saline water temperature in the still with jute cloth was raised to about 74 °C which reduces the heat losses from the still compared to the conventional still water temperature.



**Figure 9. Single slope SS with vertical jute cloth in the middle of basin, (Sakthivel, Shanmugasundaram, and Alwarsamy 2010).**

The effect of sand as an energy storage medium on the SS productivity was studied by (Tabrizi and Sharak 2010). They claimed that the SS continues to produce fresh water after the sunset. More than 12% of total water production was pertaining to this time. (Sakthivel and Shanmugasundaram 2008) Studied the effect of using black gravel in the SS basin on water productivity. Results showed that the production rate increased by 40% at gravel depth equal to 25mm.

The latent heat storage systems present another heat storage technique that used in SS system. Latent heat storing can be handled by using phase change materials (PCMs). (Arunkumar et al. 2013) Studied the effect of using PCM (Paraffin wax loaded in copper balls) in a SSSS system on the production rate, the obtained results show that the excess energy produced during sunshine times is stored in a PCM for use later during the night. Results showed that the use of PCM increases the production rate by 27%. (Ansari et al. 2013) Used three types paraffin wax with different melting temperatures of 42, 52, and 56 °C and depth of 8cm in the SS integrated with SSSS. They claimed that the use of the paraffin with 56 °C melting temperature increased the production rate by 42%.

### 3.4 Fins

Fins represent a very good way to increase the productivity of convectional SS. It was found that using fins decreases the pre-heating time needed to evaporate water in the SS basin (Velmurugan et al. 2008). Figure 11 shows a schematic diagram for SS integrated with fins.

The effect of adding fins inside the SS basin on a SSSS on water productivity was studied by (Velmurugan et al. 2008). Results showed that the water productivity increased by 49.5% by using fins. The effect of a single slope DBSS integrated with ETC . the used fins was 3cm mild steel integrated inside basin. A DBSS integrated with fins was studied by (H. Panchal et al. 2018). The results showed that the productivity using fins increased the productivity by 25% in comparison with the productivity without fins. (Fath and Hosny 2002) Theoretical study the productivity of a single slope basin integrated with fins attached to the condenser. The results showed that the productivity increased by 55% in comparison with the convectional system.




Figure 10. Cross-sectional view of SS integrated with fins (Velmurugan et al. 2008).

### 3.5 Reflector

Internal and external mirrors integrated with the SS were used to reflected solar radiation on the SS to increase its solar energy input for water evaporation (Tanaka and Nakatake 2006). Figure12 shows a schematic diagram for SS integrated with reflectors. (Tanaka and Nakatake 2006) Studied the effect of reflectors integrated with SSSS on water productivity. The results showed that the combination of internal and external reflectors has better effect on the productivity than using the internal reflector or no reflector at all. The productivity increased by 26% with both internal and external reflectors.




Figure 11. Schematic diagram of the basin type still with reflectors (Tanaka and Nakatake 2006).

Another study was performed by (Tanaka 2010) using combination of internal and external reflector at different condenser configuration. Results showed that the productivity increased by 80% in comparison with no reflector at all. (T. Arunkumar, et al 2010) Studied the effect of using reflectors on the productivity of the PSSS. Results showed that the productivity increased by 90.8% in comparison with PSSS without reflectors.

### 3.6 Glass Cover Inclination Angle

The glass cover of the SS plays a vital role in its productivity. (Fath and Hosny 2002) studied theoretically the effect of changing the inclination angle of the glass cover in a SSSS on the SS productivity. They used different inclination angles of  $15^\circ$ ,  $30^\circ$ , and  $45^\circ$ . The results showed that the productivity increased by 35% at inclination angle of  $15^\circ$  compared to that of  $45^\circ$ . Figure 13 shows a schematic diagram of a single slope passive SS.




Figure 12. Schematic diagram of single slope passive SS (Fath and Hosny 2002)

(Dev and Tiwari 2009) Studied experimentally the effect of the glass cover inclination angles of 15°, 30° and 45° in a SSSS on the productivity. The results showed that the productivity increased by 30% at angle 45° compared to that of 15°. (Abderachid and Abdenacer 2013) studied computationally the effect of change the inclination angle of the glass cover by 10°, 30° and 45° for a DSSS and DESS on the SS productivity. The results showed that the productivity increased by 28% and 40% for DSSS and DESS, respectively at 10° compared to that of 45°.

The computational studies presented claimed that the productivity increases with decreasing the inclination angle, the conclusion is based up on the experimental study presented and the logic explanation that when the inclination angle is larger, it permits the slide of more quantity of desalinate bubbles which give available space for condensing larger quantity of vapor on the surface of the glass cover.

### 3.7 Collector’s inclination angle.

(Omara, Eltawil, and ElNashar 2013) studied the effect of changing the SS base inclination angle on the SS productivity. This study was performed at latitude angle 31° 20' and the inclination angle used were 0°, 20° and 30°. Figure 14 shows the different basin configuration of the used SS. Results showed that the highest productivity took place at inclination angle equal to 30°.




Figure 13. Different basin configuration for SS, (Omara, Eltawil, and ElNashar 2013)

The effect of inclination angle of a FPC on the SS productivity was studied by (Abad et al. 2013). This study was performed at latitude of  $35^{\circ} 43'$  and the inclination angle used were  $35^{\circ}$ ,  $45^{\circ}$  and  $55^{\circ}$ . The results showed that the productivity increased by 44.6% at the inclination angle of  $35^{\circ}$ . (Shafii et al. 2016) studied the effect of changing ETC inclination angle on the SS productivity. This study was performed at latitude angle  $35^{\circ} 43'$  and the inclination angles used were  $25^{\circ}$ ,  $35^{\circ}$  and  $45^{\circ}$ . The results showed that the productivity increased by 35.5% at inclination angle of  $35^{\circ}$ .

It can be concluded that the best inclination angle of the collector or the SS basin is the latitude of the test place as showed in the presented studies.

### 3.8 Cover material

In this section, the effect of changing the condenser's cover material on the SS productivity is explored. (Belhadj et al. 2015) studied the effect of changing the condenser's cover material (glass and metal) on a single basin double slope (asymmetric) SS with a condenser-evaporator plate and a condenser plate productivity. Figure 15 shows a schematic photo of SS. The performance of the introduced SS was estimated and compared to that of a conventional one under the same conditions. Results showed that the productivity increased by 55% by using a glass cover over the metallic cover.

The effect of changing glass cover material in a SS integrated with a thermos-syphon ETC was studied by (Mamouri et al. 2014). Glass, aluminum and steel were the cover's material tested in this study. The results showed that the productivity of the glass cover was higher by 150% compared to the steel cover.




Figure 15. schematic photo of SS, (Belhadj et al. 2015)

### 3.9 Cover thickness

(Morad, El-Maghawry, and Wasfy 2015) Studied the effect of changing SS glass cover thickness by 3, 4 and 5 mm on the SS productivity. This study was performed by using convectional DSSS and double slope SS integrated with FPC. A glass cover was provided by a cooling system having a period of 5, 10 and 15 mins on/off. Results showed that the maximum production rate occurs at cover thickness equal 3mm. The productivity increased by 18% at the smallest glass cover thickness compared to the largest one.

### 3.10 Summary

As a summary for the findings of the literature presented, table 1 shows the best core finding in each study parameter with the enhancement percent for each.

Researcher/Year of Publication	Operating System	Studied parameters	Enhancement
(Mamouri et al. 2014)	SS with ETCs	Water depth of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 cm.	Production rate increased by 70% for 1cm compared to 5 cm
(Badran 2007)	Single slope SS	Wind speed 2 to 5 m/s	Production rate increased by 55% fro 2 m/s compared to 5 m/s
(Ansari et al. 2013)	SS	Using paraffin wax	Global Production rate increased by 42%
(Fath and Hosny 2002)	SSSS	Fins attached to the glass cover of the SS	Production rate increased by 55%
(T. Arunkumar, R. Jayaprakash, A. Prakash, P.U Suneesh 2010)	PSSS	Reflectors concentrating the solar radiation entering the SS	Productivity increased by 90.8%
(Dev and Tiwari 2009)	SSSS	Glass cover inclination angle of 15°,30° and 45°	The productivity increased by 30% at angle 45° compared to that of 15°.
(Abad et al. 2013)	SS with FPC	Collector's inclination angles of 35°, 45° and 55°	The productivity increased by 44.6% at the inclination angle of 35° compared to 55.(the optimum inclination angles was the latitude in all the researches)
(Mamouri et al. 2014)	SS integrated with a thermos-syphon ETC	Covers material of Glass, aluminum and steel	That the productivity of the glass cover was higher by 150% compared to the steel cover.
(Morad, El-Maghawry, and Wasfy 2015)	DSSS with FPC	cover's thickness of 3,4 and 5 mm	The productivity increased by 18% at 3mm cover thickness compared to 5mm.

#### 4 CONCLUSION

The passive desalination systems have various designs and types. Each of the presented design has its advantages and disadvantages. Some parameters were tested in these different designs which was discussed in the section. The conclusion of each test parameters is as follows:

- Water depth in the SS basin was investigated in the range from 0.56 to 18 cm. The studies showed that the maximum production rate occurs normally at the lowest water depth that causes the lowest heat capacity absorbed by water at smaller depths.
- Wind speed is considered the main source of the condensation of the vapor on the SS cover. As the wind speed increases, the heat convection from the condenser and the condensation rate increase.
- Heat storage media were used in the SS to extend its performance to the night time and to decrease its maximum temperature to decrease its losses. The use of sensible and latent heat storage media was found to increase the productivity of the SS over the day.

- Using fins in the basin of the water still and on the condenser's, cover was found to increase the productivity of the SS. This is because the fins extend the surface of the heat transfer and increases the evaporation rate when used in the basin and increases the condensation when used on the SS cover.
- Internal and external reflectors integrated with the SS was found to reflect solar radiation into the SS and increase its solar gain and productivity.
- The slope of the glass cover has a significant effect on the productivity. It was found experimentally that as the inclination angle increases, the productivity increase. This was explained by the increase of the slip rate of the condensed drops on the surface of the cover. The was not agreed by the computational studies. However, the experimental studies presented claimed that.
- The collector or the SS basin inclination angle was found to be optimum when equals to the latitude of the location.
- The effect of the SS cover thickness and material also have an effect on the SS productivity. The productivity of SS found to increase when using a glass cover instead of metals to permit the solar radiation entrance to the still basin. As the glass cover thickness decreases, the productivity found to increase due to lower thermal resistance of the smaller thickness.

## 5 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS.

One of the promising systems found in the literature is the collector-condenser desalination system without SS. This is because the SS participates in losing a great quantity of energy in heating the water in the basin and the air space inside the SS. The collector of the system of this type was an ETC. This is because the ETC has high efficiency at high temperature (evaporation). Some enhancement modifications on this system is suggested as follows:

- Study the effect of changing water film thickness inside the ETC using inserted cores of low thermal conductivity and low heat capacity materials. On the performance of the system.
- Study the effect of varying wind speed using natural wind and fan on the performance of such systems.
- Study the effect of using PCM with deferent melting temperatures larger than 56°C inside the ETC on the over-day performance of the system.
- Study the effect of fins on the outer surface of the condenser to increase the condensation rate.
- Study the effect of different inclination angles for the condenser on the performance of the system.
- Study the effect of changing the material of the metal condenser by different thermal conductivity materials on the performance of the system.

## ABBREVIATIONS

DBSS: Double basin solar still.  
 DESS: Double effect solar still.  
 DSSS: Double slope solar still.  
 ETC: Evacuated tube collector  
 FPC: Flat plate collector  
 HSS: Hemispherical solar still.  
 MBSS: Multi basin solar still.

PHP: Pulsating Heat Pipes.  
 PSSS: Pyramid shape solar still.  
 SBSS: Single basin solar still.  
 SDS: Solar desalination systems.  
 SS: Solar still  
 SSSS: Single slope solar still.

## REFERENCES

Abad, H Kargar Sharif, M Ghiasi, S Jahangiri Mamouri, and M B Shafii. 2013. "A Novel Integrated Solar Desalination System with a Pulsating Heat Pipe." *Desalination* 311: 206–10. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.10.029>.

Abderachid, Trad, and Kaabi Abdenacer. 2013. "Effect of Orientation on the Performance of a Symmetric Solar Still with a Double Effect Solar Still (Comparison Study)." *Desalination* 329: 68–77. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.09.011>.

Ansari, Omar, Mohamed Asbik, Abdallah Bah, Abdelaziz Arbaoui, and Ahmed Khmou. 2013. "Desalination of the Brackish Water Using a Passive Solar Still with a Heat Energy Storage System." *DESALINATION* 324 (September): 10–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.05.017>.

Arunkumar, T, D Denkenberger, Amimul Ahsan, and R Jayaprakash. 2013. "The Augmentation of Distillate Yield by Using Concentrator Coupled Solar Still with Phase Change Material." *DESALINATION* 314 (April): 189–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.01.018>.

Badran, O O. 2007. "Experimental Study of the Enhancement Parameters on a Single Slope Solar Still Productivity." *Desalination* 209 (1): 136–43. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2007.04.022>.

Badran, O O, and H A Al-Tahaine. 2005. "The Effect of Coupling a Flat-Plate Collector on the Solar Still Productivity." *Desalination* 183 (1): 137–42. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2005.02.046>.

Balan, R, J Chandrasekaran, S Shanmugan, B Janarthanan, and S Kumar. 2011. "Review on Passive Solar Distillation." *Desalination and Water Treatment* 28 (1–3): 217–38. <https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2011.1742>.

Belhadj, Mohamed Mustapha, Hamza Bouguettaia, Yacine Marif, and Moussa Zerrouki. 2015. "Numerical Study of a Double-Slope Solar Still Coupled with Capillary Film Condenser in South Algeria." *Energy Conversion and Management* 94: 245–52. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.01.069>.

Dev, Rahul, and G N Tiwari. 2009. "Characteristic Equation of a Passive Solar Still." *Desalination* 245 (1): 246–65. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.07.011>.

El-Sebaai, A A. 2004. "Effect of Wind Speed on Active and Passive Solar Stills." *Energy Conversion and Management* 45 (7): 1187–1204. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2003.09.036>.

El-Sebaai, A A. 2005. "Thermal Performance of a Triple-Basin Solar Still." *Desalination* 174 (1): 23–37. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2004.08.038>.

El-Sebaai, A A.. 2011. "On Effect of Wind Speed on Passive Solar Still Performance Based on Inner/Outer Surface Temperatures of the Glass Cover." *Energy* 36 (8): 4943–49. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.05.038>.

Elango, T, and K Kalidasa Murugavel. 2015. "The Effect of the Water Depth on the Productivity for Single and Double Basin Double Slope Glass Solar Stills." *Desalination* 359: 82–91. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2014.12.036>.

Faegh, Meysam, and Mohammad Behshad Shafii. 2017. "Experimental Investigation of a Solar Still Equipped with an External Heat Storage System Using Phase Change Materials and Heat Pipes." *Desalination* 409: 128–35. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.01.023>.

Fath, Hassan E S, and H M Hosny. 2002. "Thermal Performance of a Single-Sloped Basin Still with an Inherent Built-in Additional Condenser." *Desalination* 142 (1): 19–27. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164\(01\)00422-2](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(01)00422-2).

Ismail, Basel I. 2009. "Design and Performance of a Transportable Hemispherical Solar Still." *Renewable Energy* 34 (1): 145–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RENENE.2008.03.013>.

K. Sampathkumar, T.V. Arjunan, P. Senthilkumar. 2011. "Single Basin Solar Still Coupled with Evacuated Tubes - Thermal Modeling and Experimental Validation." *International Energy Journal* 12 (1): 53–66. <http://www.ericjournal.ait.ac.th/index.php/eric/article/view/652>.

Madhlopa, A, and C Johnstone. 2009. "Numerical Study of a Passive Solar Still with Separate Condenser." *Renewable Energy* 34 (7): 1668–77. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.12.032>.

Mamouri, S Jahangiri, H Gholami Derami, M Ghiasi, M B Shafii, and Z Shiee. 2014. "Experimental Investigation of the Effect of Using Thermosyphon Heat Pipes and Vacuum Glass on the Performance of Solar Still." *Energy* 75: 501–7. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.08.005>.

Manokar, A Muthu, K Kalidasa Murugavel, and G Esakkimuthu. 2014. "Different Parameters Affecting the Rate of Evaporation and Condensation on Passive Solar Still – A Review." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 38: 309–22. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.05.092>.

Morad, M M, Hend A M El-Maghawry, and Kamal I Wasfy. 2015. "Improving the Double Slope Solar Still Performance by Using Flat-Plate Solar Collector and Cooling Glass Cover." *Desalination* 373: 1–9. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.06.017>.

Omara, Z M, Mohamed A Eltawil, and ElSayed A ElNashar. 2013. "A New Hybrid Desalination System Using Wicks/Solar Still and Evacuated Solar Water Heater." *Desalination* 325: 56–64. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.06.024>.

Panchal, H N, and H Thakkar. 2016. "Theoretical and Experimental Validation of Evacuated Tubes Directly Coupled with Solar Still." *Thermal Engineering* 63 (11): 825–31. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0040601516110045>.

Panchal, Hitesh N. 2015. "Enhancement of Distillate Output of Double Basin Solar Still with Vacuum Tubes." *Journal of King Saud University - Engineering Sciences* 27 (2): 170–75. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2013.06.007>.

Panchal, Hitesh, Kishor Kumar Sadasivuni, M Suresh, Satyapal Yadav, and Shivani Brahmhatt. 2018. "Performance Analysis of Evacuated Tubes Coupled Solar Still with Double Basin Solar Still and Solid Fins." *International Journal of Ambient Energy* 0 (0): 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01430750.2018.1501745>.

Praveen T. Hunashikatti1, K. R. Suresh, B. Prathima, and and Gulshan Sachdeva. 2014. "Development of Desalination Unit Using Solar Still Coupled with Evacuated Tubes for Domestic Use in Rural Areas." *CURRENT SCIENCE* 107: 1683–93.

Sakthivel, M, and S Shanmugasundaram. 2008. "Effect of Energy Storage Medium (Black Granite Gravel) on the Performance of a Solar Still." *International Journal of Energy Research* 32 (1): 68–82. <https://doi.org/10.1002/er.1335>.

Sakthivel, M, S Shanmugasundaram, and T Alwarsamy. 2010. "An Experimental Study on a Regenerative Solar Still with Energy Storage Medium — Jute Cloth." *Desalination* 264 (1): 24–31. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.06.074>.

Sathyamurthy, Ravishankar, Hyacinth J Kennady, P K Nagarajan, and Amimul Ahsan. 2014. "Factors Affecting the Performance of Triangular Pyramid Solar Still." *Desalination* 344: 383–90. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2014.04.005>.

Shafii, M B, S Jahangiri Mamouri, M M Lotfi, and H Jafari Mosleh. 2016. "A Modified Solar Desalination System Using Evacuated Tube Collector." *Desalination* 396: 30–38. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2016.05.030>.

Shahmohamadi M., Shafii, M.B. and Sadrhosseini H. 2015. "SOLAR WATER DISTILLATION BY USING WATER IN THE INNER GLASS EVACUATED TUBES." *Third Southern African Solar Energy Conference*, 11–13.

Shukla, A, Karunesh Kant, and Atul Sharma. 2017. "Solar Still with Latent Heat Energy Storage: A Review." *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies* 41: 34–46. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2017.01.004>.

Singh, Ragh Vendra, Shiv Kumar, M M Hasan, M Emran Khan, and G N Tiwari. 2013. "Performance of a Solar Still Integrated with Evacuated Tube Collector in Natural Mode." *Desalination* 318: 25–33. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.03.012>.

T. Arunkumar, R. Jayaprakash, A. Prakash, P.U Suneesh, M. Karthik and SanjayKumar. 2010. "Study of Thermo Physical Properties and an Improvement in Production of Distillate Yield in Pyramid Solar Still with Boosting Mirror." *Indian Journal of Science and Technology* 4 (8).

Tabrizi, Farshad Farshchi, and Ashkan Zolfaghari Sharak. 2010. "Experimental Study of an Integrated Basin Solar Still with a Sandy Heat Reservoir." *DESALINATION* 253 (1–3): 195–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2009.10.003>.

Tanaka, Hiroshi. 2010. "Monthly Optimum Inclination of Glass Cover and External Reflector of a Basin Type Solar Still with Internal and External Reflector." *SOLAR ENERGY* 84 (11): 1959–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2010.07.013>.

Tanaka, Hiroshi, and Yasuhito Nakatake. 2006. "Theoretical Analysis of a Basin Type Solar Still with Internal and External Reflectors." *DESALINATION* 197 (1–3): 205–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2006.01.017>.

Velmurugan, V, M Gopalakrishnan, R Raghu, and K Srithar. 2008. "Single Basin Solar Still with Fin for Enhancing Productivity." *ENERGY CONVERSION AND MANAGEMENT* 49 (10): 2602–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2008.05.010>.

Xiao, Gang, Xihui Wang, Mingjiang Ni, Fei Wang, Weijun Zhu, Zhongyang Luo, and Kefa Cen. 2013. "A Review on Solar Stills for Brine Desalination." *Applied Energy* 103: 642–52. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.029>.

Yadav, Suraj, Himanshu Manchanda, Mahesh Kumar, Rakesh Kumar, and Research Scholar. 2017. "Stepped and Evacuated Tube Collector Coupled Solar Stills: A Comprehensive Review," no. 4: 697–2394.

Zurigat, Yousef H, and Mousa K Abu-Arabi. 2004. "Modelling and Performance Analysis of a Regenerative Solar Desalination Unit." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 24 (7): 1061–72. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2003.11.010>.